

# BureauBERTo: Adapting UmBERTo to the Italian bureaucratic language

Serena Auriemma, Mauro Madeddu, Martina Miliani, Alessandro Bondielli, Lucia C. Passaro, Alessandro Lenci

Ital-IA 2023, 3rd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence Workshop: Al per la Pubblica Amministrazione May 29th, 2023 - CNR, Pisa



- Transformer-based language models have advanced the SoTA in NLP, but are sensitive to domain shifts.
- Many domain-adapted LMs have been developed for the biomedical and scientific domains, as BioBERT (Lee et al., 2020) and SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019); and for the legal and financial ones, like LegalBert (Chalkidis et al., 2020) and FinBert (Araci, 2019)
- For Italian, released LMs related to the bureaucratic sector are Italian-Legal-BERT, ArchiBERTo, LamBERTa.
- Despite the growing deployment of transformer-based models in similar domains, a specific pre-trained model for the bureaucratic language is still missing.



- We introduce BureauBERTo, the first transformer-based model adapted to the Italian bureaucratic language.
- $\succ$  And we address the following research questions:
  - 1. What is the overlap among the vocabularies of our target technical-bureaucratic domains?
  - 2. To what extent the vocabulary expansion is beneficial for the domain-adaptation of BureauBERTo? Does further pre-training affect the semantic representation of words?
  - 3. What are the advantages of employing a domain-specific vs. a generic model in a downstream task?



- We initialized our model starting from UmBERTo, which is the best generic model for handling administrative data (Auriemma et al. 2022).
- We performed domain adaptation mostly following the same hyperparameters of RoBERTa.

Epochs	40
steps	17400
batch size	~8k
learning rate	5e-5
Adam	β1=0.9, β2 = 0.98
weight decay	0.1





- We expanded the model vocabulary with 8,305 new domain terms selected by applying the TF-IDF on a composite corpus containing PA, banking, and insurance documents (i.e., the Bureau Corpus).
- We reached a total vocabulary size of 40,305 token types, increasing the model size from 110M to 117M params.
- Finally, we trained UmBERTo with a MLM objective randomly masking 15% of the tokens.



The Bureau Corpus

➤ We constructed the Bureau Corpus by selecting:

- administrative acts of several Italian municipalities;
- banking public communications, circulars, and provisions;
- a collection of non-life insurance product information documents.

Dataset size, number of sentences, and percentage of each domain data (in terms of sentences) in the Bureau Corpus.

Domain	Size	N.sents	% of domain data		
PA	4.3 GB	23,176,626	65.7%		
Banking	1.8 GB	7,835,289	22.2%		
Insurance	674 MB	4,281,311	12.1%		
Bureau Corpus	6.7 GB	35,293,226	100%		





1. What is the overlap among the vocabularies of our target technical-bureaucratic domains?

						 anties	
			Banking	Insurance	PA		Jt
	appear only in insurance texts.	PA -	52.26	50.73	92.03	- 50	
	<ul><li>3.6% of the tokens occur</li><li>only in banking</li><li>documents</li><li>0.3 % of the tokens</li></ul>	Insurance '	41.67	53.39	50.73	- 70 - 60	
•	21.6% of the tokens are exclusive of the PA domain	Banking '	59.33	41.67	52.26	- 90 - 80	



- 2. To what extent the vocabulary expansion is beneficial for the domain-adaptation of BureauBERTo? Does further pre-training affect the semantic representation of words?
  - > We assessed the effectiveness of our domain adaptation with a fill-mask task.
  - > We measure the model accuracy in predicting:
    - top-k (where  $k \in K = \{1, 3, 5, 10\}$ ) candidates
    - for random and domain specific words

Sentence		UmBERTo	BureauBERTo	
	1	ʻgaranzia' (47.76%)	<b>'copertura'</b> (94.00%)	
determina la cessazione della presente	2	'polizza' (25.88%)	'garanzia' (4.06%)	
copertura assicurativa ed il rimborso del Premio	3	'copertura' (14.48%)	'polizza' (0.47%)	
pagato da parte della Compagnia all'Impresa	4	'Convenzione' (1.82%)	'Convenzione' (0.38%)	
	5	'convenzione' (1.51%)	'prestazione' (0.23%)	



2. To what extent the vocabulary expansion is beneficial for the domain-adaptation of BureauBERTo? Does further pre-training affect the semantic representation of words?

- BureauBERTo improves over UmBERTo in both masked word prediction tasks across all datasets.
- The gap between the two models widens when masking only in domain words

Domain	k	Ran	dom	In-dom.+in-voc.		
Domain	i.	UmB.	BB	UmB.	BB	
	1	29.81%	39.74%	46.16 %	61.46	
PA - ATTO	3	39.94%	50.70%	67.48%	83.16%	
PA-AITO	5	43.32%	53.75%	72.82%	86.09%	
	10	47.21%	57.49%	78.76%	88.93%	
Banking	1	30.51%	36.33%	52.82%	58.27%	
	3	42.58%	48.99%	69.78%	74.72%	
	5	47.07%	53.62%	75.75%	80.34%	
	10	52.29%	58.97%	81.82%	86.11%	
Insurance	1	28.62%	41.68%	43.61%	62.51%	
	3	40.42%	53.78%	60.02%	77.72%	
	5	44.70%	57.59%	66.60%	81.94%	
	10	49.79%	62.21%	74.08%	87.12%	



- 3. What are the advantages of employing a domain-specific vs. a generic model in a downstream task?
- We fine-tuned BureauBERTo in a PA-specialized NER task to compare its performance with those of the generic transformer model UmBERTo (Auriemma et al., 2022) and INFORMed PA, a PA-specialized Stanford NER (Passaro et al., 2017).
- All models were trained on the INFORMed PA corpus, a collection of 460 documents from the Albo Pretorio Nazionale, annotated with:
  - standard NER entities (i.e., person, locations, and organizations)
  - and in-domain classes: LAW (national legislation), ACT (PA acts), and ORGPA (PA organizations, like city hall's offices).



- 3. What are the advantages of employing a domain-specific vs. a generic model in a downstream task?
  - ➤ Results
    - BureauBERTo obtained a significant improvement on the in-domain class ORGPA (+4%)
    - Other domain-specific entities are better recognized by BureauBERTo
      - Slightly better performance for ACT, LAW and PER

Model	Measure	ACT	LAW	LOC	ORG	ORG <sub>PA</sub>	PER	MicAvg	MacAvg
	Р	0.916	0.846	0.808	0.795	0.785	0.908	0.858	0.872
UmBERTo	R	0.942	0.877	0.841	0.838	0.828	0.900	0.890	0.899
	F1	0.928	0.861	0.824	0.816	0.806	0.904	0.873	0.885
	Р	0.788	0.827	0.702	0.709	0.616	0.837	1	0.74
<b>INFORMed PA</b>	R	0.891	0.842	0.740	0.689	0.777	0.878	-	0.803
	F1	0.836	0.834	0.720	0.698	0.686	0.857	-	0.772
BureauBERTo	Р	0.915	0.863	0.761	0.776	0.790	0.915	0.850	0.868
	R	0.951	0.877	0.805	0.859	0.912	0.927	0.899	0.914
	F1	0.932	0.870	0.783	0.816	0.846	0.921	0.874	0.890



- The experiments suggest that generalizing PA, Insurance, and Banking domains to the "bureaucratic" one is effective for the transfer.
- Additional aspects of the adaptation need to be studied more in depth (e.g., downstream tasks for all the sub-domains).
- In the future, we plan to:
  - perform additional experiments for additional downstream tasks
  - challenge our model to solve tasks on a different, albeit close domain, such as the legal one. This will assess the transfer-learning capabilities of BureauBERTo to other bureaucratic domains.